

Georgia Institute of Technology | Milwaukee School of Engineering | North Carolina A&T State University | Purdue University University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign | University of Minnesota | Vanderbilt University

# Short-term energy storage for a mid-size wind turbine with a hydrostatic transmission

Kim A. Stelson Distinguished CSE Professor and Director

Center for Compact and Efficient Fluid Power Department of Mechanical Engineering University of Minnesota



Turbine snapshot at U of M - Morris



Energy Storage Summit, Energy Transition Lab, July 15, 2015





- 1. Community wind can reduce the load on the transmission grid.
- 2. A hydrostatic transmission is a cost-effective reliable alternative for community wind turbines.
- 3. Short-term energy storage increases the annual energy production of a hydrostatic wind turbine

## **Community wind**

Community wind (midsize wind): 100 kW-1 MW: Cost-effective method for moderate power demands such as farms, communities and factories; Generates the wind power where it is needed; Reduces the required capacity of the transmission grid;

Range of commercially available hydraulic components.





**CENTER FOR COMPACT AND EFFICIENT** 

Community wind

## Hydrostatic wind turbine



Failure frequency and downtimes of components

□ Studies show the major components contributing to low reliability and increased downtime of turbines are found to be the **gearbox**, **generator and the drive train**.



#### Advantages of a hydrostatic transmission:

- □ CVT with simple system structure
- □ No need for power converter
- □ Fluid compressibility reduces shock load
- Improve reliability and reduce cost

Commercial hydraulic components (pumps and motors) match the power level of mid-size wind turbines, reducing the technology risk.

#### Short-term energy storage



4.0% 3.5% 3.0% 2.5% 2.0% 0 20 40 60 80 100 120 Accumulator Volume (liter) • % increase in AEP

**CENTER FOR COMPACT AND EFFICIENT** 

FLUID POWER

**Sensitivity study:** accumulator size on annual energy production (AEP) in a 50 kW turbine:

40 liter accumulator increases AEP by 3.4%
60 liter accumulator increases AEP by 4.1%

A cost analysis is required to determine whether the turbine energy production increase will offset the cost increase of implementing the energy storage system.

4.5%